Let thy left brain know what thy right brain doeth: Inter-hemispheric compensation of functional deficits after brain damage
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Abstract
Recent evidence revealed the importance of inter-hemispheric communication for the compensation of functional deficits after brain damage. This review summarises the biological consequences observed using histology as well as the longitudinal findings measured with magnetic resonance imaging methods in brain damaged animals and patients. In particular, we discuss the impact of post-stroke brain hyperactivity on functional recovery in relation to time. The reviewed evidence also suggests that the proportion of the preserved functional network both in the lesioned and in the intact hemispheres, rather than the simple lesion location, determines the extent of functional recovery. Hence, future research exploring longitudinal changes in patients with brain damage may unveil potential biomarkers underlying functional recovery.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction
Despite its symmetrical appearance, the human brain exhibits anatomical as well as functional hemispheric asymmetries (Concha et al., 2012). Frequently occurring after a lesion in the left rather than the right hemisphere, the observation of language deficits spurred the concept of hemispheric dominance (Broca, 1863). Similarly, the behaviour of right-brain damaged patients suggested a mirror organisation of visuo-spatial functions with the right hemisphere being responsible for spatial deficits (Gainotti et al., 1972). Decades of neuropsychological testing in patients with surgically separated hemispheres corroborated the hypothesis of hemispheric dominance (Sperry, 1974, 1981) and led to the attribution of Roger W. Sperry’s Nobel prize in 1981 (Sperry, 1981) (see Fig. 1).

The concept of hemispheric dominance or brain lateralisation became so popular that it currently receives about 90 million hits on Google. However, recent progress in functional neuroimaging (fMRI) depicts a different story, in which far from being independent, both hemispheres work together to form a fine network, pooling its resources to elaborate language as well as spatial functions (Hervé et al., 2013). For example, visual processing of words and faces, often considered to depend on specialized areas situated respectively in the left and in the right occipitotemporal cortex, is increasingly being understood as related to graded, not absolute, lateralisation patterns (Behrmann and Plaut, 2015). The (relative) left-lateralisation of reading-related areas seems to result from their preferential connections with the left hemisphere language networks (Bouhali et al., 2014; Thiebaut de Schotten et al., 2014a). Learning to read would “push” face recognition areas towards the right hemisphere (Dehaene et al., 2010). Inter-hemispheric differences would, however, remain relative rather than absolute, with important variability across individuals (Behrmann and Plaut, 2015). Graded degrees of laterality of function can also result from transcortical cell assemblies, i.e., cluster of neurons which function in a coordinated manner across the hemispheres (Pulvermüller and Mohr, 1996). In fact, brain regions related to reading and to face recognition might be less discrete and more intertwined than previously thought, and might consist of...
brain areas distant from the lesion that can easily be spotted using perfusion weighted imaging (Beauchamp et al., 1999). Reduction in cerebral blood flow will decrease the metabolism due to selective neuronal loss (Olsen et al., 1986; Weiller et al., 1993) in the lesioned hemisphere (i.e. ipsilateral diachisis). Disconnections will reduce the metabolism because of neuronal deafferentation (Metter et al., 1985) in the lesioned hemisphere as well as in the unaffected hemisphere (i.e. transcortical diachisis). These two phenomena are critical for functional recovery, because two sub-cortical lesion of similar appearance can have drastically different functional outcomes in patients, depending on their impact on distant cortical areas (Hillis et al., 2000, 2002).

Increased excitability can also occur in disconnected areas, both in the lesioned hemisphere and in the unaffected hemisphere (Buchkremer-Ratzmann et al., 1996). Particularly, surface lesions are more likely to present with ipsilateral increased excitability, whereas deeper lesions will tend to involve also the contralateral hemisphere (Buchkremer-Ratzmann and Witte, 1997) through a complex modulation of specific receptors. Immunohistochemical studies in rats with photochemically induced cortical infarcts suggest that ipsilateral increased excitability is in part associated to the down-regulation of gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA)A receptors alpha1, alpha2, alpha5 and gamma2 within the ipsilateral hemisphere, whereas up-regulation of receptors alpha3 would be linked to contralateral increased excitability. These post-stroke GABA receptor modulations are blocked by N-methyl-D-aspartate–receptor (NMDA) antagonists (Redeker et al., 2002). This suggests that a sequential order of receptor modulation takes place in both hemispheres after a stroke, although the fine details about the pipeline of this chain reaction requires further characterisation at the cellular level (Paik and Yang, 2014).

At the macroscopic level, cortical hyperexcitability is identified using task-based functional MRI (Corbetta et al., 2005). Cortical hyperexcitability tends to appear in both hemispheres during the early weeks after a stroke, and to decrease thereafter. These processes may contribute to spontaneous functional recovery. However, they can also be maladaptive in the case of persistence after the first weeks post-stroke, and thereby correlate with poor behavioural recovery (Cramer, 2008). The significance of post-stroke hyperactivity for functional recovery varies according time. Four partially overlapping temporal epochs can be identified (Fig. 2): (1) an acute phase within hours of the stroke, characterised by local inflammation, oedema and distal diachisis; (2) a subacute phase during the first weeks after the stroke, when most of the spontaneous recovery occurs and then plateaus, and the distal diachisis peaks; (3) a chronic phase beginning weeks to months after the stroke, associated with a ‘normalisation’ of the activity and a stabilisation of the deficits (Cramer, 2008); (4) finally, several months after the injury, preliminary evidence suggests that a rewiring of white matter fibers may also occur, with axonal sprouting near the ischemic injury leading to novel connections to distant cortical targets (Dancause et al., 2005).

These distinct mechanisms may be associated with different forms of functional recovery. For instance, whereas motor deficits usually show a maximum recovery within 30 days, language and other high cognitive functions may improve well beyond this phase, up to a year after stroke (Cramer, 2008). This difference in recovery rate suggests that partly distinct mechanisms may be at work in motor and in cognitive recovery (Crefkes and Ward, 2014). Hence, the late occurrence of cortical rewiring might well have an essential role in the recovery of high level cognitive function. This difference would also suggest that specific recovery mechanisms are in place for functions that developed later along the evolutionary tree.

### 2. Consequences of unilateral brain damage

Diaschisis is classically defined as a remote loss of excitability (Dancause et al., 2005). Cerebral damage induces reduction of blood flow (Weiller et al., 1993) and disconnection (Metter et al., 1985) of anatomically alternated patchy modules along the ventral occipitotemporal cortex (Matsuo et al., 2015).

How would this new understanding of the functioning of the brain account for the lateralisation of functional deficits? To answer this question our review will put forth evidence to show that a brain damage has consequences that spread well beyond the lesion site (Section 2: Consequences of unilateral damage) and within the damaged functional networks (Section 3: The role of the unaffected hemisphere in cognitive recovery after stroke). From this perspective, we will argue that the brain’s ability to handle damage relies on the proportion of the preserved functional network in both hemispheres, instead of the strict location of the lesion (Section 4: Inter-hemispheric communication).

---
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3. The role of the unaffected hemisphere in cognitive recovery after stroke

Functional MRI revealed that language and visuospatial functions, although asymmetrically distributed, are functions elaborated within bilateral networks in the brain (Tzourio-Mazoyer et al., 2015) (Fig. 3). Therefore, a unilateral lesion can partially damage both the language and visuospatial networks. While the unaffected hemisphere has been hypothesised as playing a key role in functional recovery, literature reports contradictory evidence of the role of the contralesional hemisphere in recovery from language disorders (Gainotti, 2015; Heilman et al., 1979) or pure alexia (Bartolomeo et al., 1998, 2008). In keeping with these findings, using transcranial magnetic stimulation Coslett and Monson (1994) were able to disrupt oral reading in a partially recovered pure alexic patient by interfering with the function of the right hemisphere, but not with that of the left hemisphere. However, other non-invasive brain stimulation studies on patients produced mixed results. For example, both inhibitory (Kindler et al., 2012) and excitatory (Chieffo et al., 2014) magnetic stimulation over the right homologous Broca’s region have been reported to improve naming in aphasics. In a similar way, neuroimaging studies of stroke patients did not provide conclusive evidence on the role of the healthy hemisphere in cognitive recovery. Improvement of language in post-stroke aphasia was found to correlate with increased blood-oxygen-level-dependent response (i.e. BOLD measured with functional magnetic resonance imaging) in the right homologue of Broca’s area during the acute phase, and to a redirection of BOLD activation in perilesional areas in the left hemisphere during the chronic phase (Saur et al., 2006). These and other similar findings confirm the key role that time plays within post-stroke functional recovery through its contribution to activity in the unaffected hemisphere.

There is similarly conflicting evidence concerning the evolution of visual neglect after right hemisphere damage. On the one hand, fMRI results indicated a relative hyperactivity of left dorsal frontoparietal networks in subacute neglect patients, which would provoke an attentional bias towards right-sided objects (Urbanski and Bartolomeo, 2008) and neglect of left-sided items (Corbetta et al., 2005; He et al., 2007); consistent with this hypothesis, suppressive transcranial magnetic stimulation over left frontoparietal regions correlated with an improvement of patients’ performance on cancellation tests (Koch et al., 2008). On the other hand, a widespread hypometabolism in both the lesioned and the intact hemispheres has also been demonstrated in chronic neglect (Fiorelli et al., 1991; Pantano et al., 1992; Perani et al., 1993). Neglect recovery has been shown to correlate with restoration of normal metabolism not only in the unaffected regions of the right hemisphere, but also in the left hemisphere (Pantano et al., 1992; Perani et al., 1993; see also Wilke et al., 2012, for compelling evidence in the monkey). This conflicting evidence may well be due to the timing of the studies with regard to the patients’ epoch of recovery (subacute vs. chronic, see Fig. 2).

Another source of variability in recovery may be the
predisposition of other areas of the functional network to compensate for the deficit. These vicariant structures can, for example, already be dysfunctional because of comorbid disorders that occurred before the stroke. This has been illustrated by Levine et al. (1986), who followed up 9 patients with a stroke in the right hemisphere. In the subacute phase (2–4 weeks post-event) the severity of left neglect increased as well as both the size of the lesion and the degree of pre-morbid diffuse cortical atrophy. Patients with cortical atrophy also showed poor recovery from neglect over 3–5 months. The authors concluded that lesion size affects recovery through its extent to potential ipsilateral vicariant areas. Contralateral vicariant areas, however, might be affected by premorbid atrophy that further reduces the quality of the recovery.

Finally, some individual brains may have a better predisposition to recover than others. Recently, Forkel et al. (2014) demonstrated that the phenotype of the structural network supporting language (i.e. arcuate fasciculus) may interact with recovery (Fig. 4). In a group of 16 patients with a left hemisphere stroke, they showed that the patients having a more bilateral structural network for language were more likely to recover from aphasia when controlling for age, gender and lesion size. By analogy, these results suggest an interesting hypothesis on the lateralisation of structural networks supporting visuospatial processing (Thiebaut de Schotten et al., 2011) as a predisposition to recover from left neglect: the less right-lateralized are the II and III branches of the Superior Longitudinal Fasciculus (SLF), the better patients should be able to recover from left neglect signs. More generally, these results highlight the importance of establishing a clear patient stratification in order to provide a more personalised treatment.

4. Inter-hemispheric communication

Cognitive deficits typically reflect network-based dysfunctions, rather than local neural damage (Catani and Mesulam, 2008; Dorichchi et al., 2008; Geschwind, 1965a, 1965b). This important, yet general and relevant point, does not often receive enough attention. The network-based nature of cognitive deficits leaves room for non-lesioned nodes or networks to compensate for the impaired function. However, for this vicariance to be successful, the unaffected nodes/networks must be connected with the rest of the system. In the particular case of inter-hemispheric compensation of cognitive deficits, fast and efficient communication between the healthy and the lesioned hemisphere is likely to be a mandatory condition.

The most important anatomical structure subserving inter-hemispheric communication is the corpus callosum (Glickstein and Berlucchi, 2008; Zaidel and Iacoboni, 1997). Indeed, impaired callosal function may contribute to signs of visual neglect in right brain-damaged patients. As originally suggested by Norman Geschwind (1965b), an isolated left hemisphere might in some cases take over the control of performance, with consequent rightward attentional bias (Bartolomeo, 2007; Berlucchi et al., 1997). It is, for example, possible that inappropriate activity of left prefrontal cortex in neglect patients partly explains their lack of exploration/detection of left-sided events (Rastelli et al., 2013), especially when the left prefrontal cortex does not receive the appropriate input from more posterior or contralateral regions (Bartolomeo, 2015). Empirical support to this hypothesis comes from the clinical observation of a regression of left neglect signs in a patient with right parietal damage, after a second lesion occurred in the left prefrontal cortex (Vuilleumier et al., 1996). Sensory neglect can also be induced through posterior callosal damage, combined with damage to the visual cortex or visual pathways in the right hemisphere, such that the left hemisphere is deafferented from visual information (Gaffan and Hornak, 1997; Park et al., 2006; Tomaiuolo et al., 2010). A study on 11 right brain-damaged patients found that microstructural damage of the posterior corpus callosum, as estimated by diffusion MRI, correlated with the clinical severity of neglect (Bozzali et al., 2012). Another diffusion MRI study found microstructural alterations of the corpus callosum adjacent to the left, unaffected hemisphere in 12 patients with chronic neglect (Umarova et al., 2014). Similarly, damage to the corpus callosum may contribute to aphasia (Saba and Blum, 2014) through a deafferentation of left language areas from the right hemisphere (Ishizaki et al., 2012). However these cases are rare, usually presented as single case reports, which may make it difficult to draw general conclusions (Shallice, 1988).

The fact that interhemispheric disconnection may compromise recovery was further documented by Lunven et al. (2015), who recently obtained anatomical evidence on 45 patients with unilateral strokes in the right hemisphere. Patients were assessed twice for neglect, first at the subacute phase (less than 3 months after onset) and then at the chronic phase (> 1 year). Their lesions were assessed with MRI including diffusion sequences. Additionally, after masking each patient’s lesion, Lunven et al. (2015) performed Tract-Based Spatial Statistics (Smith et al., 2006) to obtain a voxelwise statistical analysis of the fractional anisotropy (FA) data. Twenty-seven patients had signs of visual neglect at initial testing. Only 10 of these patients had recovered from neglect at follow-up. When compared with patients without neglect, the group including all subacute neglect patients had decreased FA in the II and III branches of the right SLF, as well as in the splenium of the corpus callosum. The subgroup of chronic patients showed reduced FA in a portion the splenium, the forceps major, which provides inter-hemispheric communication between regions of the occipital lobe and of the superior parietal lobules. The severity of neglect inversely correlated with FA values in SLF II/III for subacute patients and in its caudal portion for chronic patients. These results confirmed a key role of fronto-parietal disconnection in the emergence and chronic persistence of neglect (Bartolomeo et al., 2007; Dorichchi et al., 2008; Dorichchi and Tomaiuolo, 2003; Thiebaut de Schotten et al., 2008, 2014b), but also demonstrated an implication of caudal interhemispheric disconnection in chronic...
neglect. Lunven et al. (2015) concluded that splenial disconnection may prevent fronto-parietal networks in the left hemisphere from resolving the activity imbalance with their right-hemisphere counterparts (Corbetta and Shulman, 2011), thus leading to persistent neglect.

The demonstration of the importance of the anatomically intact callosal connections for the recovery of cognitive deficits underlying neglect signs suggests that some of the discrepancies in the literature on the effects of activity in the unaffected hemisphere may depend on differences in interhemispheric communication. In the case of neglect, activity in an isolated left hemisphere is unlikely to take into account information coming from the left side, thus aggravating neglect. A similar case may be made for language disorders, where activity in an isolated right hemisphere with degraded input from the lesioned language networks may be less efficient for, or even detrimental to, language recovery. Thus, the adaptive or maladaptive nature of activity in the unaffected hemisphere may also depend on its capability of communicating with the lesioned hemisphere.

The role of interhemispheric communication for brain recovery extends well beyond visual neglect. A resting state fMRI connectivity study on 23 patients with first-ever acute strokes demonstrated that decreased interhemispheric functional connectivity between homologous fronto-parietal regions correlated with deficits in the detection of contralesional targets and decreased motor function of the upper extremity (Carter et al., 2010). In a similar way, in 10 stroke survivors recovery of the sensation of touch correlated with interhemispheric functional connectivity between regions of the somatosensory system, and with visual and frontal-related with interhemispheric functional connectivity between re-

way, in 10 stroke survivors recovery of the sensation of touch correlated to poor language recovery after a stroke. ANR-13-JSV4-0001-01). Additional support came from the program “Investissements d’avenir” ANR-10-IAUHU-06.
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